## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No. 09/852,033 Docket No. 031884-1000 Page 9

## **REMARKS**

The final Office Action of December 10, 2003 and Advisory Action of June 23, 04 have been received and carefully reviewed. Accordingly, the Applicants have amended claims 1 and 19 to further define the invention as discussed and agreed upon during the telephone interview of June 21, 2004, and claims 13, 24, 34, 45 and 46-70 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 1-12, 14-23 and 25-33 remain pending. Applicant respectfully submits that no new matter has been introduced in this Amendment. Reconsideration and allowance of the claims in view of the amendments above and the remarks that follow is respectfully requested.

In the final Official Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-33 und  $\tau$  35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,317,260 to Kasten et al (hereinafter "Kasten"). Applicant again respectfully traverses the Examiner's interpretation (1'Kasten.

With reference to the related case Serial No. 10/325,742, a divisional of the instant application, the Examiner had made several recommendations to place that case in condition for allowance, and has recently indeed allowed that case. Consistent with those prior recommendations, the current Advisory Action and the telephone interview of line 21<sup>st</sup>, the Applicant has amended claims 1 and 19 in a manner consistent with those recommendations.

As previously noted by the Applicant and agreed-to by the Examiner, K sten fails to describe any dynamic, interactive and non-standard RF pulse sequence formation. Instead, all data entry, whether by user input or mouse, in Kasten creates at best contatenational combinations of standard sequences. In other words, Kasten represents a "cannel" approach to magnetic image sequencing formation, readily distinguishable from the dynamic implementation of the present invention.

Claims 1 and 19 have been amended to better describe the dynamic interal tiveness of the instant invention over the prior art, including the Kasten reference cited and applied in the Office Action, along with other newer references, such as Patent Application | 'ublication Nos. 20020060566 and 20030002631, and U.S. Patent No. 6,484,048 mentioned during the interview by the Examiner in connection with the divisional case. Applicant has also reviewed the various references cited but not applied in the instant Office. I ction and respectfully submits that all of these newly-cited references are not relevant to the instant invention, or are obviated, as discussed below.

Application Vo. 09/852,033 Docket No. 031884-1000 Page 10

\_NO. 0863\_\_\_\_ P. 13\_

Particularly, on May 3, 2004, the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office granted Applicant's Petition, of February 10, 2004, to indicate the appropriate priority of the instant non-provisional application to the U.S. Provisional Patent Serial No. 60/20:,326, filed on May 11, 2000, thereby pre-dating the earliest priority date of the two aforementioned Patent Application Publication documents. Therefore, the Applicant respectfully submits that all of the references brought to Applicant's attention by the Examiner are either in elevant to the instantly claimed invention or have been rendered moot by the granting of the Petition. The provisional filing date is reflected in the Corrected Filing Receipt, and this date is also noted in the amendment to the specification submitted herewith.

In view of the above claim amendments and the proper indication of priority, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the § 102(b) rejection of the claims 1-33 over Kasten.

Having responded to the rejection set forth in the outstanding Office Action, it is submitted that claims are in condition for allowance. An early and fave able Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited. In the event that the Examiner is of the opinion that a brief telephone or personal interview will facilitate allowance of one or more of the above claims, the Examiner is courteously requested to contact Applicant's undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

Raymond Van Dyke

Registration No.: 34,746

Nixon Peabody LLP Suite 900, 401 9th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 Tel: (202) 585-8250

Fax: (202) 585-8250 Fax: (202) 585-8080

RVD/JWM

## This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

efects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
□ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.